UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,255	05/07/2007	Thomas Focke	10191/4205	7110
26646 KENYON & K	7590 03/18/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV	VAY	GREGORY, BERNARR E		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/579,255	FOCKE, THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bernarr E. Gregory	3662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
•	- [.] action is non-final.					
,—	<i>,</i> —					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
oloood in absordance with the places and of E.	x parte quayre, 1000 o.b. 11, 10	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 May 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
_ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	• •					
·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/11/2006</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3662

1. The Drawings are hereby objected to in that Figure 1 must labelled "PRIOR ART" in that it illustrates a prior art system and is so described in the Brief Description of the Drawings section of the Specification. Correction is hereby **required**.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of independent claims 5, 7, and 8, the uses of the terms "broad," "narrow," "short-range," and "long-range" are indefinite and unclear: (1) in that each is a relative term with no point of reference to define them in context, and (2) in that for each it is unclear in context what is meant in using them to modify the term "antenna characteristic." Further with respect to point (1), what is the dividing point between "narrow" and "broad" in context? Likewise, what is the dividing point between "short-range" and "long-range" in context?

On lines 8-10 of independent claim 5, the use of the word "transmits" is unclear in the context of the action of the "switch." The similar uses of the word "transmits" with respect to a "switch" are likewise unclear in context in each of independent claims 7 and 8.

On lines 20-21 of independent claim 8, the phrase "according to the mono-pulse method" is unclear in context.

In each of dependent claims 9 and 10, it is unclear in context what is meant by stating that antennae "overlap." Is it meant that the antenna patterns overlap?

Dependent claim 6 is unclear at least in that it depends from unclear independent claim 5. Dependent claim 9 is unclear at least in that it depends from unclear independent claim 7. Dependent claim 10 is unclear at least in that it depends from unclear independent claim 8.

- 4. Claims 5, 7, and 8 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. Claims 6, 9, and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The examiner-cited prior art is of general interest for showing the state of the related prior art. It is noted that none of the references of

Application/Control Number: 10/579,255 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

record switches antennas as claimed in independent claims 5, 7, and 8 at the pulse repetition frequency of the radar transmitter.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernarr E. Gregory whose telephone number is (571) 272-6972. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza, can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Bernarr E. Gregory/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3662